IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Crim.Rev.Application No.168 of 2000
with
Crim. Application No. 1640 of 2000

Premratan Jamnadas Daga ..Applicant

vs.

1. The State of Maharashtra
and others ..Respondents

Shri R.K.Yadav for applicant. (consent not obtained).
Shri S.V.More, A.P.P. for respondent State.

CORAM: S.C.DHARMADHIARI J.
15th December,2006

P.C.

1. Learned Counsel for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the application. Leave granted. Application is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to take out appropriate proceedings. The learned Counsel applies for withdrawal because the impugned order is passed during the course of the trial. Now final verdict is delivered in the subject sessions case and original complainant is held to be entitled to return of the property. He, therefore, seeks liberty to approach the appropriate Court to give effect to the order passed in Sessions Case No.928 of 1998 on 30th April, 2002

2. Since the Crim.Revision Application itself is being withdrawn, the present application for condonation of delay does not survive and is accordingly disposed of

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI J.)